Maxima of the Q-index for outer-planar graphs*

Guanglong Yu[a†] Shu-Guang Guo[a] Yarong Wu[b]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Yancheng Teachers University,

Yancheng, 224002, Jiangsu, P.R. China

[b]SMU college of art and science, Shanghai maritime University, Shanghai, 200135, P.R. China

arXiv:1405.0392v3 [math.CO] 20 May 2014

Abstract

The *Q-index* of graph G is the largest eigenvalue $q(G)$ of its signless Laplacian $Q(G)$. In this paper, we prove that the graph $K_1\nabla P_{n-1}$ has the maximal Q-index among all outer-planar graphs of order n.

AMS Classification: 05C50
Keywords: Signless Laplacian; Q-index; Outer-planar graph

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are undirected and simple, i.e. no loops or multiple edges are allowed. Given a graph G, $Q(G) = D(G)+A(G)$ is called the *signless Laplacian matrix* of G, where $D(G) = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ with $d_i = d_G(v_i)$ being the degree of vertex v_i $(1 \le i \le n)$, and $A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of G. The *Q-index* of G is the largest eigenvalue $q(G)$ of its signless Laplacian $Q(G)$. From spectral graph theory, we know that if graph G is connected, there is a unit positive eigenvector (called Perron eigenvector) of $Q(G)$ corresponding to $q(G)$. In recent years, the study of the Q-index of a graph attracted much attention, and the reader may consult [3]-[6]. On the study of the Q-index, a hot topic is that given a class of graphs with fixed order, what is the maxima of the Q-index.

Denote by K_n, C_n, P_n a complete graph, a cycle and a path of order n respectively. The join $G\nabla H$ of disjoint graphs G and H is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by joining each vertex of G to each vertex of H. It has been conjectured in [2] that the graph $K_1\nabla P_{n-1}$ has the maximal adjacency spectral index among all outer-planar graphs. In [7], for a connected outer-planar graph of order $n \ge 2$, it has been shown that $q(G) \le n + 2$. By comparisons from some examples shown in [7], it appears plausible that the graph $K_1\nabla P_{n-1}$ has the maximal Q-index among all outer-planar graphs of order n. In this paper, we confirm

*Supported by NSFC (Nos. 11271315, 11171290, 11101057, 11201417).
†E-mail addresses: yglong01@163.com.

that the graph $K_1 \nabla P_{n-1}$ really has the maximal Q-index among all outer-planar graphs of order n.

2 Preliminary

The reader is referred to [1, 8] for the facts about outer-planar graphs. A graph G is *outer-planar* if it has a planar embedding, called *standard embedding*, in which all vertices lie on the boundary of its outer face. A simple outer-planar graph is (edge) *maximal* if no edge can be added to the graph without violating outer-planarity. In the standard embedding of a maximal outer-planar graph G of order $n \geq 3$, the boundary of the outer face is a Hamiltonian cycle (a cycle contains all vertices) of G, and each of the other faces is triangle. Obviously, a maximal outer-planar graph is 2-connected, and in a maximal outer-planar graph, the least vertex degree is at least 2 (in fact, a maximal outer-planar graph has at least 2 vertices with degree 2). From a nonmaximal outer-planar graph G, by adding edges to G, a maximal outer-planar graph G' can be obtained. Denote by $m(G)$ the edge number of a graph G. For an outer-planar graph G, we have $m(G) \leq 2n-3$ with equality if and only if it is maximal. From spectral graph theory, for a graph G, it is known that $q(G+e) > q(G)$ if $e \notin E(G)$. Consequently, when we consider the maxima of the Q-index among outer-planar graphs, it is sufficient to consider the maximal outer-planar graphs directly.

We introduce some notations. Denote by $V(G)$ the vertex set and $E(G)$ the edge set for a graph G. If there is no ambiguity, we use $d(v)$ instead of $d_G(v)$. We use Δ to denote the maximum vertex degree of a graph. In a graph, the notation $v_i \sim v_j$ denotes that vertex v_i is adjacent to v_j. Denote by $K_{s,t}$ a complete bipartite graph with one part of size s and another part of size t. Next we introduce some working lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [10] *Let u be a vertex of a maximal outer-planar graph on $n \geq 2$ vertices. Then $\sum_{v \sim u} d(v) \leq n + 3d(u) - 4$.*

Lemma 2.2 [9] *Let G be a graph. Then $q(G) \leq \max_{u \in V(G)} \{d(u) + \frac{1}{d(u)} \sum_{v \sim u} d(v)\}$.*

Lemma 2.3 [5] *Let G be a connected graph containing at least one edge. Then $q(G) \geq \Delta + 1$ with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$.*

3 Main results

Lemma 3.1 *Let G be a maximal outer-planar graph with order $n \geq 6$ and $\Delta(G) \leq n-4$. Then $q(G) \leq n$.*

Proof. For any vertex $u \in V(G)$, then

$$d(u) + \frac{1}{d(u)} \sum_{v \sim u} d(v) \leq d(u) + \frac{n + 3d(u) - 4}{d(u)} \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1)}$$

$$= d(u) + 3 + \frac{n-4}{d(u)}.$$

Let $f(x) = x+3+\frac{n-4}{x}$. It can be checked that $f(x)$ is convex. Note that $2 \leq d(u) \leq n-4$. Then

$$d(u) + \frac{1}{d(u)} \sum_{v \sim u} d(v) \leq \max\{5 + \frac{n-4}{2}, n\} = n.$$

By Lemma 2.2, $q(G) \leq n$. This completes the proof. □

Let $\mathcal{H} = K_1 \nabla P_{n-1}$ (see Fig. 3.1). By Lemma 2.3, we see that $q(\mathcal{H}) > n$. From this, we see that among all outer-planar graphs of order n, the maxima of the Q-index is more than n. Combining with Lemma 3.1, we find that among outer-planar graphs of order $n \geq 6$, the maximal degree of the graph with the maxima of the Q-index is more than $n-4$. Next, we consider the outer-planar graphs of order $n \geq 6$ with $\Delta = n-3$, $n-2$ respectively.



Fig. 3.1. $\mathcal{H}$, G

Lemma 3.2 *Let G be a maximal outer-planar graph with order $n \geq 6$ and $\Delta(G) = d_G(v_k) = n-2$ (see Fig. 3.1). Then $q(G) \leq q(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Because $\Delta(G) = n-2$, $2 \leq k \leq n-2$. By Lemma 2.3, we know that $q(G) > n-1 \geq 5$. Let $X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})^T \in R^n$ be the Perron eigenvector corresponding to $q(G)$, where x_i corresponds to vertex v_i.

Note that

$$q(G)x_0 = 2x_0 + x_1 + x_{n-1}, \tag{1}$$

$$q(G)x_k = (n-2)x_k + x_1 + x_{n-1} + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-2, i \neq k} x_i. \tag{2}$$

(1), (2) tell us that

$$q(G)x_k - q(G)x_0 = (n-2)x_k - 2x_0 + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-2, i \neq k} x_i,$$

$$(q(G)-2)(x_k-x_0)=(n-4)x_k+\sum_{2\le i\le n-2,i\neq k}x_i>0.$$

It follows immediately that $x_k>x_0$.

Note that $q(G)x_1=4x_1+x_2+x_0+x_{n-1}+x_k$, $q(G)x_{n-1}=4x_{n-1}+x_0+x_1+x_{n-2}+x_k$. Then

$$q(G)(x_1+x_{n-1})=5(x_1+x_{n-1})+2(x_0+x_k)+x_2+x_{n-2}. \tag{3}$$

From (1) and (2), we also get that

$$q(G)(x_k+x_0)=(n-2)x_k+2x_0+2x_1+2x_{n-1}+\sum_{2\le i\le n-2,i\neq k}x_i. \tag{4}$$

By (4)-(3), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}&q(G)(x_k+x_0)-q(G)(x_1+x_{n-1})\\&=(n-10)x_k+3(x_0+x_k)-3(x_1+x_{n-1})+3(x_k-x_0)+\sum_{3\le i\le n-3,i\neq k}x_i.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(q(G)-3)[x_k+x_0-(x_1+x_{n-1})]=(n-10)x_k+3(x_k-x_0)+\sum_{3\le i\le n-3,i\neq k}x_i. \tag{5}$$

(5) tells us that if $n\ge 10$, then $x_k+x_0>x_1+x_{n-1}$.

Let $F=G-v_1v_{n-1}+v_kv_0$. Note the relation between the Rayleigh quotient and the largest eigenvalue of a non-negative real symmetric matrix, and note that $X^TQ(F)X-X^TQ(G)X=(x_k+x_0)^2-(x_1+x_{n-1})^2$. It follows that if $n\ge 10$, then $q(F)>X^TQ(F)X>X^TQ(G)X=q(G)$. Because $F\cong\mathcal{H}$, if $n\ge 10$, then $q(\mathcal{H})>q(G)$.



Fig. 3.2. G_1-G_3

graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index
G_1	6.8284	G_4	7.9908	G_7	8.8093
G_2	7.2571	G_5	8.0683	G_8	8.8533
G_3	7.3908	G_6	8.0809	G_9	8.8611

Table 1. The approximation of the Q-index for G_i $(1 \leq i \leq 9)$

It can be checked that when $n = 6$, $G \cong G_1$; when $n = 7$, $G \cong G_2$ or $G \cong G_3$; when when $n = 8$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_4, G_5, G_6\}$; when when $n = 9$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_7, G_8, G_9\}$ (see Fig. 3.2). By computation with computer, we get the approximation of the Q-index for each G_i $(1 \leq i \leq 9)$ (see Table 1). And by computation with computer, we get that when $n = 6$, $q(\mathcal{H}) \approx 6.9576$; when $n = 7$, $q(\mathcal{H}) \approx 7.8099$; when $n = 8$, $q(\mathcal{H}) \approx 8.6925$; when $n = 9$, $q(\mathcal{H}) \approx 9.6007$. By a simple comparison, it follows that for each G_i $(1 \leq i \leq 9)$ of order n $(6 \leq n \leq 9)$, $q(G_i) < q(\mathcal{H})$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.3 *Let G be a maximal outer-planar graph with order $n \geq 7$ and $\Delta(G) = n - 3$. Then $q(G) \leq q(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof.



Fig. 3.3. D_1, D_2, D_3

Suppose $d_G(v_k) = \Delta(G)$ in G. It can be seen that there are three cases for G, that is, $G \cong D_1$, $G \cong D_2$ or $G \cong D_3$ (see Fig. 3.3). By Lemma 2.3, we know that $q(G) > n-2 \geq 5$.

Case 1 $G \cong D_1$.

For this case, $n \geq 7$. For convenience, we suppose that $G = D_1$. Because $\Delta(G) = n-3$, $3 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let $X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})^T \in R^n$ be the Perron eigenvector corresponding to $q(G)$, where x_i corresponds to vertex v_i.

Note that

$$q(G)x_0 = 2x_0 + x_1 + x_{n-1}, \tag{6}$$

$$q(G)x_1 = 3x_1 + x_0 + x_2 + x_{n-1}. \tag{7}$$

Then

$$q(G)(x_0 + x_1) = 3x_0 + 4x_1 + x_2 + 2x_{n-1},$$

$$(q(G) - 4)(x_0 + x_1) = x_2 + 2x_{n-1} - x_0. \tag{8}$$

By (7)-(6), we get

$$q(G)(x_1 - x_0) = 2x_1 - x_0 + x_2.$$

Then

$$(q(G) - 1)(x_1 - x_0) = x_1 + x_2. \tag{9}$$

(9) implies $x_1 > x_0$. Note that

$$q(G)x_k = (n-3)x_k + \sum_{2 \le i \le n-1, i \ne k} x_i. \tag{10}$$

By (10)+(7), we get

$$q(G)(x_k + x_1) = (n-3)x_k + x_0 + 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_{n-1} + \sum_{3 \le i \le n-2, i \ne k} x_i. \tag{11}$$

Then

$$(q(G) - 4)(x_k + x_1) = (n-7)x_k - x_1 + x_0 + 2x_2 + 2x_{n-1} + \sum_{3 \le i \le n-2, i \ne k} x_i. \tag{12}$$

Note that

$$q(G)x_2 = 4x_2 + x_1 + x_{n-1} + x_3 + x_k. \tag{13}$$

By (13)+(6), we get that

$$q(G)(x_2 + x_0) = 2x_0 + 2x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3 + x_k + 2x_{n-1}. \tag{14}$$

By (14)-(7), we get that

$$q(G)(x_2 + x_0 - x_1) = x_0 + 3x_2 + x_3 + x_k + x_{n-1} - x_1.$$

Then

$$(q(G) - 1)(x_2 + x_0 - x_1) = 2x_2 + x_3 + x_k + x_{n-1} > 0. \tag{15}$$

(15) implies that $x_2 + x_0 > x_1$. By (12)-(8), we get that

$$(q(G) - 4)(x_k - x_0) = (n-7)x_k + 2x_0 + x_2 - x_1 + \sum_{3 \le i \le n-2, i \ne k} x_i > 0. \tag{16}$$

(16) implies $x_k > x_0$. By (10)-(7), we get that

$$q(G)(x_k - x_1) = (n-3)x_k - x_0 - 3x_1 + \sum_{3 \le i \le n-2, i \ne k} x_i.$$

Then

$$(q(G) - 3)(x_k - x_1) = (n-7)x_k + x_k - x_0 + \sum_{3 \le i \le n-2, i \ne k} x_i > 0. \tag{17}$$

(17) implies that $x_k > x_1$. By (10)-(13), we get that

$$q(G)(x_k - x_2) = (n-4)x_k - 3x_2 - x_1 + \sum_{4\le i\le n-2, i\neq k} x_i.$$

Then

$$(q(G)-3)(x_k - x_2) = (n-7)x_k - x_1 + \sum_{4\le i\le n-2, i\neq k} x_i. \qquad (18)$$

(18) implies that if $n \geq 8$, then $x_k > x_2$. Note that

$$q(G)x_{n-1} = 5x_{n-1} + x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_k + x_{n-2}. \qquad (19)$$

By (10)-(19), we get that

$$q(G)(x_k - x_{n-1}) = (n-4)x_k - 4x_{n-1} - x_1 - x_0 + \sum_{3\le i\le n-3, i\neq k} x_i.$$

Then

$$(q(G)-4)(x_k - x_{n-1}) = (n-8)x_k - x_1 - x_0 + \sum_{3\le i\le n-3, i\neq k} x_i. \qquad (20)$$

(20) implies that if $n \geq 10$, then $x_k > x_{n-1}$. By (13)+(19), we get that

$$q(G)(x_2 + x_{n-1}) = x_0 + 2x_1 + 5x_2 + x_3 + 2x_k + x_{n-2} + 6x_{n-1}. \qquad (21)$$

By (11)-(21), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &q(G)(x_k + x_1) - q(G)(x_2 + x_{n-1}) \\ &= (n-5)x_k - 3x_2 - 4x_{n-1} + x_1 + \sum_{4\le i\le n-3, i\neq k} x_i \\ &= (n-12)x_k + 3x_k - 3x_2 + 4x_k - 4x_{n-1} + x_1 + \sum_{4\le i\le n-3, i\neq k} x_i. \qquad (22) \end{aligned}$$

(22) implies that if $n \geq 12$, then $x_k + x_1 > x_2 + x_{n-1}$.

Let $F = G - v_2v_{n-1} + v_kv_1$. Note that $X^TQ(F)X - X^TQ(G)X = (x_k+x_1)^2 - (x_2+x_{n-1})^2$. It follows that if $n \geq 12$, then $q(F) > X^TQ(F)X > X^TQ(G)X = q(G)$. By Lemma 3.2, it follows immediately that if $n \geq 12$, then $q(\mathcal{H}) > q(F) > q(G)$.

When $n = 7$, $G \cong G_{10}$; when $n = 8$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{14}\}$; when $n = 9$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{15}, G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}, G_{19}\}$; when $n = 10$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}, G_{23}, G_{24}, G_{25}\}$; when $n = 11$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{26}, G_{27}, G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}, G_{31}, G_{32}\}$ (see Fig. 3.4). By computation with computer, we get the approximation of the Q-index for each G_i ($10 \leq i \leq 32$) (see Table 2). And by computation with computer, we get that when $n = 10$, $q(\mathcal{H}) \approx 10.5283$; when $n = 11$, $q(\mathcal{H}) \approx 11.4704$. Combining with the known results about the Q-index of $q(\mathcal{H})$ for order $n = 7, 8, 9$ in the proof of Lemma 3.2, by a simple comparison, we get that for each G_i ($10 \leq i \leq 32$) of order n ($7 \leq n \leq 11$), $q(G_i) < q(\mathcal{H})$.



Fig. 3.4 G_{10}-G_{32}

graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index
G_{10}	6.9895	G_{16}	8.3111	G_{22}	9.0044	G_{28}	9.7983
G_{11}	7.6458	G_{17}	8.3225	G_{23}	9.0032	G_{29}	9.7989
G_{12}	7.7873	G_{18}	8.2955	G_{24}	8.9867	G_{30}	9.7977
G_{13}	7.4035	G_{19}	8.1101	G_{25}	8.8812	G_{31}	9.7887
G_{14}	7.4641	G_{20}	8.9379	G_{26}	9.7596	G_{32}	9.7274
G_{15}	8.2138	G_{21}	8.9954	G_{27}	9.7933		

Table 2. The approximation of the Q-index for G_i $(10 \leq i \leq 32)$

Case 2 $G \cong D_2$.

For this case, $n \geq 8$. For convenience, we suppose that $G = D_2$. Because $\Delta(G) = n-3$, $4 \leq k \leq n-2$. Let $X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})^T \in R^n$ be the Perron eigenvector corresponding to $q(G)$, where x_i corresponds to vertex v_i.

Note that

$$q(G)x_0 = 2x_0 + x_1 + x_{n-1}, \tag{23}$$

$$q(G)x_2 = 2x_2 + x_1 + x_3, \tag{24}$$

$$q(G)x_k = (n-3)x_k + x_1 + \sum_{3\leq i\leq n-1, i\neq k} x_i. \tag{25}$$

Then

$$q(G)x_k - q(G)x_0 = (n-3)x_k - 2x_0 + \sum_{3\leq i\leq n-2, i\neq k} x_i,$$

$$(q(G)-2)(x_k - x_0) = (n-5)x_k + \sum_{3\leq i\leq n-2, i\neq k} x_i > 0.$$

This implies that $x_k > x_0$. By (25)-(24), we get that

$$q(G)x_k - q(G)x_2 = (n-3)x_k - 2x_2 + \sum_{4\leq i\leq n-1, i\neq k} x_i.$$

Then

$$(q(G)-2)(x_k - x_2) = (n-5)x_k + \sum_{4\leq i\leq n-1, i\neq k} x_i > 0.$$

This implies that $x_k > x_2$. Note that

$$q(G)x_1 = 5x_1 + x_0 + x_2 + x_3 + x_k + x_{n-1}, \tag{26}$$

$$q(G)x_{n-1} = 4x_{n-1} + x_0 + x_1 + x_k + x_{n-2}. \tag{27}$$

By (25)-(26), we get

$$q(G)x_k - q(G)x_1 = (n-4)x_k - 4x_1 - x_0 - x_2 + \sum_{4\leq i\leq n-2, i\neq k} x_i.$$

Then

$$(q(G)-4)(x_k - x_1) = (n-10)x_k + 2x_k - x_0 - x_2 + \sum_{4\leq i\leq n-2, i\neq k} x_i.$$

This implies that if $n \geq 10$, then $x_k > x_1$. By (25)-(27), we get

$$q(G)x_k - q(G)x_{n-1} = (n-4)x_k - 3x_{n-1} - x_0 + \sum_{3\leq i\leq n-3, i\neq k} x_i.$$

Then

$$(q(G)-3)(x_k - x_{n-1}) = (n-8)x_k + x_k - x_0 + \sum_{3\leq i\leq n-3, i\neq k} x_i.$$

This implies that if $n \geq 8$, then $x_k > x_{n-1}$. By (23)+(25), we get that

$$q(G)(x_k + x_0) = (n-3)x_k + 2x_0 + 2x_1 + 2x_{n-1} + \sum_{3\leq i\leq n-2, i\neq k} x_i. \tag{28}$$

By (26)+(27), we get that

$$q(G)(x_1 + x_{n-1}) = 2x_k + 2x_0 + 6x_1 + 5x_{n-1} + x_2 + x_3 + x_{n-2}. \tag{29}$$

By (28)-(29), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & q(G)(x_k + x_0) - q(G)(x_1 + x_{n-1}) \\ &= (n-5)x_k - 4x_1 - 3x_{n-1} - x_2 + \sum_{4\le i\le n-3, i\neq k} x_i \\ &= (n-13)x_k + 4x_k - 4x_1 + 3x_k - 3x_{n-1} + x_k - x_2 + \sum_{4\le i\le n-3, i\neq k} x_i. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

(30) implies that if $n \geq 13$, then $x_k + x_0 > x_1 + x_{n-1}$.

Let $F = G - v_1v_{n-1} + v_kv_0$. Note that $X^TQ(F)X - X^TQ(G)X = (x_k+x_0)^2 - (x_1+x_{n-1})^2$. It follows that if $n \geq 13$, then $q(F) > X^TQ(F)X > X^TQ(G)X = q(G)$. By Lemma 3.2, it follows immediately that if $n \geq 13$, then $q(\mathcal{H}) > q(F) > q(G)$.



Fig. 3.5. G_{33}-G_{46}

graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index
G_{33}	7.4035	G_{37}	9.0193	G_{41}	9.8476	G_{45}	10.7002
G_{34}	7.8845	G_{38}	9.0704	G_{42}	9.8521	G_{46}	10.7005
G_{35}	8.3281	G_{39}	7.4621	G_{43}	10.6779		
G_{36}	8.4076	G_{40}	9.8162	G_{44}	10.6976		

Table 3. The approximation of the Q-index for G_i $(33 \leq i \leq 46)$

When $n = 8$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{33}, G_{34}\}$; when $n = 9$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{35}, G_{36}\}$; when $n = 10$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{37}, G_{38}, G_{39}\}$; when $n = 11$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}\}$; when $n = 12$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{43}, G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}\}$ (see Fig. 3.5). By computation with computer, we get the approximation of the Q-index for each G_i $(33 \leq i \leq 46)$ (see Table 3). And by computation with computer, we get that when $n = 12$, $q(\mathcal{H}) \approx 12.4233$. Combining with the results about $q(\mathcal{H})$ for order $n = 8, 9, 10, 11$ in Case 1 and in the proof of Lemma 3.2, by a simple comparison, we get that for each G_i $(33 \leq i \leq 46)$ of order n $(8 \leq n \leq 12)$, $q(G_i) < q(\mathcal{H})$.

Case 3 $G \cong D_3$.

For this case, $n \geq 7$. For convenience, we suppose that $G = D_3$. Because $\Delta(G) = n-3$, $k \notin \{0, 1, j+1, j+2, j+3, n-1\}$. Let $F = G - v_1v_{n-1} + v_kv_0$. As Lemma 3.2, it can be proved that if $n \geq 10$, then $q(G) < q(F)$. By Lemma 3.2, we get that $q(F) < q(\mathcal{H})$. Then $q(G) < q(\mathcal{H})$.



Fig. 3.6. G_{47}-G_{52}

graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index	graph	Q-index
G_{47}	7.6044	G_{49}	8.2339	G_{51}	8.2078
G_{48}	7.4741	G_{50}	8.2833	G_{52}	8.1408

Table 4. The approximation of the Q-index for G_i $(1 \leq i \leq 9)$

When $n = 7$, then $G \cong G_{10}$. From Case 1, we know that for $n = 7$, $q(G_{10}) < q(\mathcal{H})$. when $n = 8$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{47}, G_{48}\}$; when $n = 9$, G is isomorphic to one in $\{G_{49}, G_{50}, G_{51}, G_{52}\}$ (see Fig. 3.6). By computation with computer, we get the approximation of the Q-index for each G_i $(47 \leq i \leq 52)$ (see Table 4). Combining with the results about $q(\mathcal{H})$ for order $n = 8, 9$ in the proof of Lemma 3.2, by a simple comparison, we get that for each G_i ($i = 10$ and $47 \leq i \leq 52$) of order n $(n = 8, 9)$, $q(G_i) < q(\mathcal{H})$.

From above three cases, it follows that for a maximal outer-planar graph G with order $n \geq 7$ and $\Delta(G) = n - 3$, $q(G) < q(\mathcal{H})$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.4 *Let G a maximal outer-planar graph of order n. Then $q(G) \leq q(\mathcal{H})$ with equality if and only if $G \cong \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. It can be checked that when $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $G \cong \mathcal{H}$. Then the result follows from Lemmas 3.1-3.3. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.5 *Let G be an outer-planar graph of order n. Then $q(G) < q(\mathcal{H})$ with equality if and only if $G \cong \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. From the narration in Section 2, we know that by adding edges, a maximal outer-planar graph can be obtained from a nonmaximal outer-planar graph; and know that for a graph G, $q(G + e) > q(G)$ if $e \notin E(G)$. Then the result follows from Lemma 3.4. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory, Springer Press, 2008.

[2] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, The largest eigenvalue of a graph: A survey, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 28 (1990) 3-33.

[3] D. Cvetković, Peter Rowlinson, S.K. Simić, Signless Laplacians of finite graphs, Linear Algebra and its Applications 423 (2007) 155-171.

[4] D. Cvetković, S.K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, I, Publications De l'institut Mathématique Nouvelle série, tome 85 (99) (2009) 19-33.

[5] D. Cvetković, S.K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, II, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 2257-2272.

[6] D. Cvetković, S.K. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, III, Discrete Math. 4 (2010) 156-166.

[7] L. Feng, G. Yu, A. Ilić, D. Stevanović, The signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs on surfaces, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 61 (2013) 573-581.

[8] F. Harary, Graph theory, Addison-Wesley, New York, 1969.

[9] R. Merris, A note on Laplacian graph eigenvalues, Linear Algebra Appl. 285 (1998) 33-35.

[10] J.L. Shu, Y. Hong, Upper bounds of the spectral radius for outer-planar graphs and Halin graphs, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. A 21 (2001) 677-682.